Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01761617)

Journal of Plant Physiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.de/jplph

Short communication

Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis decreases strigolactone production in tomato

Juan A. López-Ráez^{a,∗}, Tatsiana Charnikhova^b, Ivan Fernández^a, Harro Bouwmeester^b, Maria J. Pozo^a

^a Department of Soil Microbiology and Symbiotic Systems, Estación Experimental del Zaidín (CSIC), Professor Albareda 1, 18008 Granada, Spain ^b Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

article info

Article history: Received 13 June 2010 Received in revised form 2 August 2010 Accepted 3 August 2010

Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhiza Biocontrol Root parasitic plants **Strigolactones** Tomato

ABSTRACT

Strigolactones are a new class of plant hormones emerging as important signals in the control of plant architecture. In addition, they are key elements in plant communication with several rhizosphere organisms. Strigolactones are exuded into the soil, where they act as host detection signals for arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, but also as germination stimulants for root parasitic plant seeds. Under phosphate limiting conditions, plants up-regulate the secretion of strigolactones into the rhizosphere to promote the formation of AM symbiosis. Using tomato as a model plant, we have recently shown that AM symbiosis induces changes in transcriptional and hormonal profiles. Using the same model system, here we analytically demonstrate, using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, that strigolactone production is also significantly reduced upon AM symbiosis. Considering the dual role of the strigolactones in the rhizosphere as signals for AM fungi and parasitic plants, we discuss the potential implications of these changes in the plant interaction with both organisms.

© 2010 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis is a mutualistic association established between certain soil fungi and most terrestrial plants, and it is considered a key step in the evolution of aquatic into terrestrial plants ([Parniske, 2008\).](#page-3-0) During the symbiosis, AM fungi obtain carbohydrates from their host plant and, in return, the plants obtain water and mineral nutrients (mainly phosphorous and nitrogen) from their fungal partners. In addition to improving the nutritional status, the symbiosis enables the plant to perform better under stressful conditions [\(Pozo and Azcón-](#page-3-0)Aguilar, [2007; Parniske, 2008\).](#page-3-0) AM symbiosis establishment and functioning requires a high degree of coordination between the two partners based on a finely regulated molecular dialogue that orchestrates the complex symbiotic program [\(Paszkowski, 2006;](#page-3-0) [Hause et al., 2007; Requena et al., 2007\).](#page-3-0) The chemical dialogue starts in the rhizosphere, before there is any contact between the partners. Strigolactones are exuded by the plant roots into the soil and act as host detection signals for the AM fungi, stimulating their metabolism and hyphal branching ([Akiyama et al., 2005;](#page-2-0) [Parniske, 2008\).](#page-2-0) In the rhizosphere, the strigolactones are also germination stimulants for root parasitic plant seeds of the family Orobancheaceae, such as Striga, Orobanche and Phelipanche spp. ([Bouwmeester et al., 2007\).](#page-2-0) In accordance with their AM fungi attracting role, under low nutrient conditions (mainly phosphorous and nitrogen), plants produce more strigolactones ([Yoneyama](#page-3-0) [et al., 2007; López-Ráez et al., 2008a\),](#page-3-0) but this also increases the risk that the plant is abused by the parasitic weeds to establish a parasitic interaction ([Bouwmeester et al., 2007\).](#page-2-0) Recently, strigolactones have also been recognized as a novel class of plant hormones because, in addition to their role as signaling molecules in the rhizosphere, they also control plant architecture signaling inhibition of shoot branching ([Gómez-Roldán et al., 2008; Umehara](#page-2-0) [et al., 2008\).](#page-2-0) Other phytohormones, such as ethylene, salicylic acid, abscisic acid and jasmonates have been proposed to be important regulators in the mutualistic interaction between plants and AM fungi [\(Herrera-Medina et al., 2003; Hause et al., 2007; Herrera-](#page-2-0)Medina [et al., 2007; Riedel et al., 2008\).](#page-2-0) We have recently shown that AM symbiosis alters both hormonal and transcriptional profiles in tomato roots, especially the oxylipin pathway [\(López-Ráez](#page-3-0) [et al., 2010\).](#page-3-0) With respect to strigolactones, there are indications that regulation of their levels occurs in the host plant once the symbiosis has been established. It was shown that AM fungal inoculation of maize and sorghum led to a reduction of Striga hermonthica infection [\(Lendzemo et al., 2005\),](#page-2-0) and it was proposed that this reduced infection was caused, at least partially, by a reduction in the production of strigolactones in the mycorrhizal plants [\(Lendzemo](#page-2-0) [et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2008\),](#page-2-0) although no analytical evidence was provided. In the present work, a reduction in strigolactone production during AM symbiosis is also shown in tomato plants, indicating a conserved response in dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plant species. Moreover, the reduction in strigolactones by AM symbiosis is, for the first time, analytically demonstrated by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS). The

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 958 181600x283; fax: +34 958 181600. E-mail address: juan.lopezraez@eez.csic.es (J.A. López-Ráez).

^{0176-1617/\$ –} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jplph.2010.08.011](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.08.011)

potential use of AM fungi as a sustainable alternative in programs for parasitic weed control is discussed.

Materials and methods

Plant growth and AM fungal inoculation

Tomato seedlings (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. BetterBoy) were grown in pots with a sterile sand:soil (4:1) mixture and inoculated or not with a 10% (v:v) soil-sand-based inoculum containing Glomus mosseae (BEG12) or Glomus intraradices (BEG 121) propagules. Five plants per treatment were used. Plants were randomly distributed and grown in a greenhouse and watered three times a week with Long Ashton nutrient solution ([Hewitt, 1966\) c](#page-2-0)ontaining 25% of the standard phosphorous concentration ([López-Ráez et al.,](#page-3-0) [2010\).](#page-3-0) Plants were harvested after eight weeks. For assessment of mycorrhizal colonization, roots were cleared and stained with trypan blue ([Phillips and Hayman, 1970\).](#page-3-0) The percentage of total root colonization was determined by the gridline intersection method ([Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980\).](#page-2-0)

Germination bioassay and strigolactone analysis using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry

For strigolactone analysis, root exudates were collected, purified and concentrated as described previously [\(López-Ráez et al.,](#page-3-0) [2008b\).](#page-3-0) Briefly, the substrate in the pots was rinsed to remove any accumulated strigolactones. After 5 h, 500 ml nutrient solution was applied to the pots, the root exudates were collected and the roots harvested. Then, the crude exudates were concentrated and purified by solid phase extraction on a C_{18} SEPAK cartridge. The exudate solution was loaded onto the pre-equilibrated column and the active fraction eluted with 60% acetone:water. Within each experiment, the exudates were diluted to the same ratio of root fresh weight per ml of root exudate before analysis. For strigolactone analysis in root extracts, 0.5 g of roots were ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen and then extracted twice with 0.5 ml of acetone in a 2 ml tube. Tubes were vortexed for 2 min and centrifuged for 5 min at 8000 \times g in a table top centrifuge. The organic phase was carefully transferred to 2 ml glass vials and stored at −20 °C until use.

Germination bioassays with Phelipanche ramosa seeds, as well as strigolactone analysis and quantification by LC–MS/MS, were performed as described in [López-Ráez et al. \(2008a,b\), w](#page-2-0)ith the exception that LC–MS/MS analyses were performed using a Xevo tandem quadrupole (TQ) mass spectrometer (Waters) equipped with an ESI source.

Statistical analysis

Data for mycorrhization levels and strigolactone content were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS Statistics v. 14.1 for Windows. To analyze the results of germination bioassays, ANOVA after arcsine [square root (X)] transformation was used.

Results and discussion

Germination stimulatory activity of root exudates from AM fungi-colonized tomato plants

The regulation of strigolactone production during AM symbiosis was assayed using tomato plants and two different AM fungi. In the case of G. intraradices, the percentage of colonized roots reached 75% after eight weeks of growth, whereas G. mosseae colonized

Fig. 1. Effect of mycorrhizal colonization of tomato roots (cv. BetterBov) inoculated with G. mosseae or G. intraradices on germination stimulatory activity of the root exudates and root extracts. Germination of P. ramosa seeds induced by the root exudates (A) or root extracts (B) of tomato plants colonized by G. mosseae (Gm) or G. intraradices (Gi) (5% and 75% colonization, respectively) or non-colonized (Nm). (C) Germination of P. ramosa seeds induced by the root exudates of tomato plants colonized by G. mosseae (Gm) (55% colonization) or non-colonized (Nm). Two different dilutions (1:50 and 1:100) of the root exudates were used. GR24 (10−¹⁰ and 10−¹¹ M) and demineralized water (closed bars) were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Bars represent the mean of five independent replicates \pm SE. Different letters indicate statistically (P<0.01) significant differences according to Fisher's LSD test.

only about 5% of the roots in this experiment. Strigolactone levels in root exudates from mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants were estimated using a germination bioassay for P. ramosa seeds [\(López-Ráez et al., 2008a\).](#page-2-0) A clear reduction (of about 50%) in the germination stimulatory activity of the exudates from roots colonized by G. intraradices, but not G. mosseae, was observed (Fig. 1A), revealing an inverse correlation between mycorrhization level and stimulation of germination. A similar reduction in germination of Striga hermonthica seeds was observed with root exudates from mycorrhizal sorghum ([Lendzemo et al., 2007\)](#page-2-0) and maize plants [\(Sun et al., 2008\).](#page-3-0) The results show that AM symbiosis can induce a reduction in the germination stimulatory activity of the exudates of tomato plants, as previously shown for monocotyledonous plants [\(Lendzemo et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2008\),](#page-2-0) suggesting that

Fig. 2. Strigolactone content in tomato root exudates analyzed by LC–MS/MS and strigolactone structures. (A) Strigolactone content in tomato root exudates. Bars correspond to the amount (according to the peak area) of the strigolactone solanacol and the didehydro-orobanchol isomers 1 (Didehydro1) and 2 (Didehydro2) of tomato plants colonized by G. mosseae (Gi) or G. intraradices (Gi) (5% and 75% colonization, respectively) and non-colonized (Nm). Bars represent the mean of five independent replicates \pm SE. Asterisks (*) indicate statistically (P <0.01) significant differences according to Fisher's LSD test. (B) Chemical structure of the tomato strigolactone solanacol and the synthetic strigolactone analogue GR24.

this is conserved across the plant kingdom. To assess whether the reduction is related to alterations in the secretion process or to biosynthesis, we also analyzed the germination stimulatory activity of root extracts. A similar reduction in germination was found with G. intraradices colonized roots, whereas germination with extracts of G. mosseae-colonized roots was not significantly different from the non-colonized control ([Fig. 1B\)](#page-1-0). These results suggest that the observed decrease in germination stimulant activity is caused by reduced production rather than by a decrease in exudation.

Recently, we have shown that some of the changes in the host hormonal and transcriptional profiles associated with the AM symbiosis depend on the fungal species involved in the interaction ([López-Ráez et al., 2010\).](#page-3-0) To determine whether the absence of a reduction in G. mosseae-colonized plants is related to the AM genotype or to the degree of mycorrhization, we analyzed the germination stimulatory activity in an independent experiment in which the root colonization by G. mosseae was about 55%. Here, a significant reduction in the stimulatory activity was observed ([Fig. 1C](#page-1-0)). Thus, the reduction in germination stimulatory activity depends on a fully established mycorrhizal association, and it is not just a consequence of the fungal presence in the rhizosphere or a particular response to specific AM species.

LC–MS/MS analysis and quantification of strigolactones

To confirm that the reduction in the germination stimulatory activity observed in G. intraradices-colonized plants is the consequence of a reduction in the production of strigolactones by the plant, the root exudates from mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants were analyzed and the strigolactones quantified by LC–MS/MS. The three major tomato strigolactones detected – solanacol and two didehydro-orobanchol isomers ([López-Ráez](#page-3-0) [et al., 2008b\)](#page-3-0) - were significantly $(P < 0.01)$ reduced in the exudate of G. intraradices-colonized plants compared with the non-mycorrhizal controls (Fig. 2A). The reduction in the levels of solanacol and the didehydro-orobanchol isomers 1 and 2 was 54, 42 and 40%, respectively. This matches very well with the approximately 50% reduction in the germination of P. ramosa seeds ([Fig. 1A](#page-1-0) and B). The other strigolactone described thus far in tomato – orobanchol – was also detected, but its concentration was too low for accurate quantification. In agreement with the germination bioassay, no differences in strigolactone levels were observed in root exudates from plants colonized by G. mosseae (Fig. 2A). In conclusion, we analytically confirm here, for the first time, that AM symbiosis reduces the production of strigolactones by the host plant, and that this reduction is dependent on the extent of root colonization. It has been previously suggested that a reduction in strigolactones might be involved in the regulation of mycorrhization as a plant strategy to avoid excessive mycorrhizal colonization, a phenomenon known as autoregulation (García-Garrido et al., 2009). The mechanism by which AM symbiosis reduces strigolactone production is unknown. A direct effect of mycorrhization on strigolactone biosynthesis, or an indirect effect through the improvement of the plant nutritional status by the symbiosis are possible explanations. Further research is needed to determine the contribution of each of these mechanisms.

Since strigolactones are rhizosphere signaling molecules for both AM fungi and root parasitic plants, the fact that AM symbiosis reduces strigolactone production by the host plant opens the possibility of using these beneficial fungi to develop a parasitic weed control strategy. Indeed, the benefits of this mutualistic association on plant fitness are well known. AM symbiosis not only influences plant nutrition ([Parniske, 2008\),](#page-3-0) but also helps the plant to overcome both biotic and abiotic stresses ([Pozo and Azcón-](#page-3-0)Aguilar, [2007\).](#page-3-0) One of these biotic stresses reduced through the use of AM fungi is the infection of cereals by Striga, as demonstrated by Lendzemo et al. (2005). Here, we show that this may also hold for Orobanche/Phelipanche parasites infecting dicotyledonous hosts. In addition, we show that this effect is due to reduced biosynthesis of strigolactones in the roots upon AM infection. As AM fungi colonize roots of most agricultural and horticultural species, this environmentally friendly biocontrol strategy can potentially be used in the majority of important crops worldwide that suffer from these root parasites [\(López-Ráez et al., 2009\).](#page-3-0)

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants AGL2006-08029 and AGL2009-07691 from the National R&D Plan of the MINCIN, PERG-02-2007-224751 from the Marie Curie program from the European Commission and The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO; VICI-grant to HB). JAL-R is supported by a postdoctoral contract (JAE-Doc) from the CSIC and IF by a PhD fellowship from the MINCIN. We thank Juan M. García and Ana Jiménez for excellent technical assistance.

References

Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K, Hayashi H. Nature 2005;435:824–7.

- Bouwmeester HJ, Roux C, López-Ráez JA, Bécard G. Trends Plant Sci 2007;12:224–30. García-Garrido JM, Lendzemo V, Castellanos-Morales V, Steinkellner S, Vierheilig H. Mycorrhiza 2009;19:449–59.
- Giovannetti M, Mosse B. New Phytol 1980;84:489–500.
- Gómez-Roldán V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, Puech-Pagés V, Dun EA, Pillot JP, et al. Nature 2008;455:189–94.
- Hause B, Mrosk C, Isayenkov S, Strack D. Phytochemistry 2007;68:101–10.
- Herrera-Medina MJ, Gagnon H, Piche Y, Ocampo JA, García-Garrido JM, Vierheilig H. Plant Sci 2003;164:993–8.
- Herrera-Medina MJ, Steinkellner S, Vierheilig H, Bote JAO, García-Garrido JM. New Phytol 2007;175:554–64.
- Hewitt EJ. Technical communication no. 22. London, UK: Commonwealth Agriculture Bureau; 1966.
- Lendzemo VW, Kuyper TW, Kropff MJ, van Ast A. Field Crop Res 2005;91:51–61.
- Lendzemo VW, Kuyper TW, Matusova R, Bouwmeester HJ, van Ast A. Plant Signal Behav 2007;2:58–62.
- López-Ráez JA, Charnikhova T, Gómez-Roldán V, Matusova R, Kohlen W, De Vos R, et al. New Phytol 2008a;178:863–74.

López-Ráez JA, Charnikhova T, Mulder P, Kohlen W, Bino R, Levin I, et al. J Agric Food Chem 2008b;56:6326–32.

- López-Ráez JA, Matusova R, Cardoso C, Jamil M, Charnikhova T, Kohlen W, et al. Pest Manag Sci 2009;64:471–7.
- López-Ráez JA, Verhage A, Fernández I, García JM, Azcón-Aguilar C, Flors V, et al. J Exp Bot 2010;61:2589–601.
- Parniske M. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008;6:763–75.
- Paszkowski U. New Phytol 2006;172:35–46.
- Phillips JM, Hayman DS. Transac Brit Mycol Soc 1970;55:158–61.

Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2007;10:393–8.

- Requena N, Serrano E, Ocon A, Breuninger M. Phytochemistry 2007;68:33–40.
- Riedel T, Groten K, Baldwin IT. Plant Cell Environ 2008;31:1203–13.
- Sun Z, Hans J, Walter MH, Matusova R, Beekwilder J, Verstappen FWA, et al. Planta 2008;228:789–801.
- Umehara M, Hanada A, Yoshida S, Akiyama K, Arite T, Takeda-Kamiya N, et al. Nature 2008;455:195–200.
- Yoneyama K, Xie X, Kusumoto D, Sekimoto H, Sugimoto Y, Takeuchi Y, et al. Planta 2007;227:125–32.