In cereal breeding programs, improved yield potential and stability are ultimate goals when developing new varieties. To facilitate achieving these goals, reproductive success under stressful growing conditions is of the highest priority. In recent times, small RNA (sRNA)-mediated pathways have been associated with the regulation of genes involved in stress adaptation and reproduction in both model plants and several cereals. Reproductive and physiological traits such as flowering time, reproductive branching, and root architecture can be manipulated by sRNA regulatory modules. We review sRNA-mediated pathways that could be exploited to expand crop diversity with adaptive traits and, in particular, the development of high-yielding stress-tolerant cereals: SMARTER cereal breeding through ‘Small RNA-Mediated Adaptation of Reproductive Targets in Epigenetic Regulation’.

Epigenetic Adaptation to Stress: Beyond the Genes
Abiotic stresses including drought, salinity, and nutrient deficiency threaten plant growth and development, dramatically reducing crop production and quality. Climate change will also impact on the yield potential of key cereal crops such as wheat (Triticum spp.), maize (Zea mays), rice (Oryza sativa), and barley (Hordeum vulgare) [1]. Numerous signal transduction pathways prompt adaptive responses at all levels (morphological, physiological, molecular) to help the plant to survive and achieve reproductive success in hostile environments. Reprogramming of gene expression via mechanisms such as epigenetic modification may allow the production or repression of proteins to enable stress adaptation.

Epigenetic modification refers to heritable and transient changes in gene activity and function associated with biochemical modifications of chromatin and RNA interference (RNAi) (see Glossary) but does not entail any changes in nucleotide sequence [2–5]. The web of epigenetic regulatory pathways and the interactions therein partially rely on small RNAs (sRNAs) to precisely reprogram the expression of stress- or development-associated genes through transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) and post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) [6–8]. Although other regulatory components (such as long non-coding RNAs and histone modifiers) [9–11] also cause epigenetic modifications associated with stress responses, they are not the focus of this review. Compared with other mechanisms, sRNAs can rapidly respond to different environmental conditions, and act as mobile signal molecules to modulate gene expression during plant development [12–14]. Differential expression of certain sRNAs in response to abiotic stress contributes to the dynamic spatiotemporal patterns of downstream target gene expression and is related to adaptive physiological and/or reproductive traits including altered reproductive timing and alleviation of cellular damage induced by stress in reproductive organs [15–19]. sRNA-mediated regulation may also provide tolerance to recurring abiotic stress through heritable stress memory [20]. Furthermore, responses of the key components in the RNAi mechanism, such as which sRNA families are expressed, appear to be genotype-dependent, thus potentially explaining genotypic differences in their physiological and adaptive traits.
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morphological stress responses [15,21–24]. Therefore, elucidation of sRNA-mediated epigenetic pathways could be exploited to expand crop phenotypic diversity with favourable physiological and reproductive traits. In this review we discuss the contribution of sRNA regulatory mechanisms to stress adaptation and reproduction in plants, highlighting recent related progress in cereal crops, and evaluate the potential of applying RNAi technologies to developing high-yielding elite cereal varieties.

sRNAs: The Epigenetic Commander Under Stress

Plant small RNAs, mainly microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), function as negative regulators in distinct but overlapping epigenetic silencing pathways. The biogenesis of plant miRNAs and siRNAs is relatively well understood, as reviewed recently in [25]. Generally, mature single-stranded miRNAs are processed from precursor miRNAs that originate from hairpin primary-miRNAs, which are transcribed from MiR genes. miRNAs can also be produced from intronic or exonic regions of protein-coding genes and transposons [26,27]. siRNAs are derived from long double-stranded (ds) RNA precursors, which originate from DNA repeats, transposons, non-coding loci, and protein-coding genes (exonic and intronic regions) [25,28]. Mature miRNAs and some siRNAs, such as trans-acting siRNA (ta-siRNAs), are loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) in association with Argonaute (AGO) proteins [25]. When bound, RISCs cause sequence-specific cleavage of the complementary target mRNAs and/or translational inhibition, resulting in PTGS [29,30]. Stress-induced, untranslated region (UTR)-derived siRNAs (sutr-siRNAs) could also be functional in the PTGS mechanism through regulation of alternative precursor mRNA (pre-mRNA) splicing [31]. However, siRNAs and, in some cases, miRNAs can reversibly modify chromatin via DNA methylation or histone modification [8] affecting accessibility of chromatin, thus determining whether a particular locus is transcriptionally silent or active [7,32]. Under unfavourable conditions, sRNAs can rapidly respond to different environmental cues and reprogram the expression of downstream genes that provide stress adaptation and heritable stress memory [20]. Sitting at the crossroads of TGS and PTGS pathways, sRNAs are therefore crucial regulators in plant acclimatisation to abiotic stresses (Figure 1).

sRNAs in TGS: Stress-Adaptive Chromatin

In response to environmental and developmental cues, sRNAs help to shape the genotype into the phenotype via stress-responsive regulation of TGS mechanisms such as histone modification and DNA methylation [7,25,33]. sRNAs coordinate histone modification by recruiting enzymes that catalyse the methylation and deacetylation of specific lysine or arginine residues in histones, causing them to be more closely associated to chromatin. Thus the binding of transcription factors to template DNA is limited, leading to suppression of transcription [34,35]. Gene transcription is regulated in this manner in many stress-related processes [33] and, particularly for ABA signalling, cold adaptation, drought adaptation, and the FLC flowering pathway [36–39].

DNA methylation inhibits the transcription of protein-coding genes and transposon movement, which could affect the transcription of neighbouring genes [5,40]. During RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM), dsRNAs are processed to 21–24 nt siRNAs, which recruit DNA methyltransferases and guide de novo methylation by sequence complementarity [25,33]. RdDM machinery has been reported to regulate developmental processes including flowering, ovule development, and male fertility, contributing to reproductive success [33,41,42] and stress-responses to drought and salinity in plants [43,44]. Furthermore, siRNAs appear to contribute to stress tolerance through directing RdDM and modulating DNA methylation in a genotype-dependent manner in rice [43]. Given that the DNA methylation state appears to be heritable [32], the manipulation of siRNAs therefore has potential for breeding stress tolerance.

Glossary

ABCE model: a floral development model. Activity of A genes alone, such as APETALA2 (AP2), leads to sepal formation. Joint activity of A and B genes, such as AP3 and PISTILLATA (PI), leads to petal development. Joint activity of B genes with C genes, such as AGAMOUS (AG), leads to stamen formation but C gene activity alone allows carpel formation. The E genes, or SEPALLATA (SEP) family, contribute to formation of all floral organs while A and C genes are antigenic to each other.

Argonaute (AGO): essential catalytic components of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) that bind to different classes of sRNAs and coordinate downstream gene-silencing events.

Artificial microRNA (amiRNAs): artificial sRNAs (21 nt) made using modified backbones of endogenous precursor miRNAs.

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)/Cas9 (CRISPR-associated nuclease 9): the prokaryotic immune system modified for genome editing. CRISPR are short palindromic repeats of DNA sequences acquired from previous exposure to bacterial, virus, or plasmid invasion. Cas9 is a DNA endonuclease associated with CRISPR that edits the genome with the help of guide RNA which contains user-defined targeting sequences. CRISPR/Cas9-based miRNA knockdown has the exclusive benefit of single-nucleotide precision to differentiate miRNA isoforms in the same family.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs): non-coding, single-stranded RNAs (20–25 nt) transcribed from MiR genes. miRNAs induce mRNA cleavage and translational inhibition in a sequence-specific manner.


RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM): the major epigenetic process involved in biogenesis of small interfering RNA (siRNAs) and DNA methylation. During RdDM, siRNAs are processed from long double-stranded (ds) RNAs.
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Figure 1. A Systematic View of Small RNA (sRNA)-Mediated Epigenetic Changes Contributing to Gene Expression Reprogramming in Response to Abiotic Stresses. In unfavourable environmental conditions, stress signals are perceived and transduced to plant cells. A network of epigenetic regulation pathways is triggered by cellular stress signals to reprogram gene expression. Stress-responsive sRNAs are induced or repressed in response to various abiotic stresses. Some sRNA families, mainly small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), regulate DNA methylation and histone modification activities which affect chromatin status, leading to transcriptional gene silencing (TGS). MicroRNAs, sometimes siRNAs, are transported into the cytoplasm to guide RISC (RNA-induced silencing complex), leading to post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS). Gene expression of functional proteins is downregulated or upregulated through the switching on/off of the TGS and PTGS mechanism under the control of stress-responsive sRNAs. Reprogrammed gene expression leads to downstream physiological or morphological changes in plants contributing to stress adaptation.
sRNAs in PTGS: Kill the Messenger under Stress

The role of sRNAs (especially sutr-siRNAs and miRNAs) in PTGS during plant stress responses and development has received significant attention. sRNAs act as negative regulators at the post-transcriptional level by affecting the mRNA population both qualitatively and quantitatively via alternative splicing or mRNA degradation, and by preventing protein translation [25].

Sutr-siRNAs appear specific to stress responses and target the genomic intron regions to affect alternative splicing (AS) [31]. The AS mechanism enables the production of multiple mature mRNAs isoforms from the same pre-mRNAs but is coupled with the nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway to ensure that nonsense mRNAs generated by AS are degraded. In brachypodium (Brachypodium distachyon), a model cereal, sutr-siRNAs were produced from the 3′-UTRs of stress-responsive coding genes under heat, cold, and salt stresses [31]. Sutr-siRNAs target specific complementary cis-elements, providing additional splice sites rather than the major annotated splice sites in the target introns, and this ultimately leads to the production of shorter alternative transcripts. These short transcripts possess a stop codon downstream of the sutr-siRNA-targeted splice sites, making them substrates to NMD under stress [31]. Sutr-siRNAs could therefore act as a regulatory switch between non-functional and functional transcripts according to different environmental signals. However, further experimental validation will be necessary to characterise the base-pairing interactions between sutr-siRNAs and their target introns during abiotic stress.

Under environmental stress, plants need to coordinate the balance between developmental patterning and stress defence activation because of the limitation of resources. The abundance of sRNAs, especially miRNAs, regulates gene expression in a highly explicit sequence-specific manner by either causing mRNA degradation or by inhibiting mRNA translation owing to the presence of the RISC that prevents the formation of the ribosomal machinery [45,46]. Development of high-throughput sequencing technology, enhanced bioinformatics tools, and the gradual completion of plant whole-genome sequences has enabled genome-wide analysis of the sRNA transcriptome and its target transcriptome in various plant species [47,48] (Figure 2). The target repertoire of the miRNA-mediated RNAi mechanism includes protein-coding genes involved in a broad range of biological processes [e.g. phytohormone biosynthesis, protein and nucleic acid binding, carbohydrate metabolic processes, protein transport, and ROS (reactive oxygen species) scavenging] [8,49,50]. Several recent reviews have highlighted the specific regulatory roles of different miRNA families in plant defence against environmental stresses [8,49,50]. Some stress-responsive miRNA/target modules also exhibit tissue-specific patterns for their specific roles, including regulating photosynthetic activity and stomatal development in the leaves, and also modulating lateral root initiation and water/nutrient uptake in the roots [15,51,52]. In addition, some miRNA/target modules exhibit opposite regulatory patterns between stress-tolerant and -sensitive varieties or, in some cases, are only active in the stress-tolerant genotypes [15,21–24]. The genotype-dependent nature of these miRNA/target modules and their ability to control stress signal recognition, hormone signal transduction, and downstream stress-inducible regulatory elements leading to physiologically or morphologically adaptive changes makes them promising candidates for crop improvement. Recent assessment of stress-responsive sRNA/target modules in cereal crops has provided valuable information to fully understand the molecular mechanism underlying stress tolerance (Table 1).

sRNA Control of Reproduction: Flourishing Under Stress

Epigenetic regulation coordinated by sRNAs appears to be involved in almost all reproductive processes including phase transition, flowering initiation, inflorescence branching, floral organ development, gametophyte development, and seed/fruit setting [19,21,53–58] (Figure 3, Key Figure). The manipulation of specific sRNA-mediated modules to alter floral initiation, development,
Figure 2. In Search of Functional Small RNAs (sRNAs) and Their Targets in Plants. (A) Plant materials with different combinations of genotypes, tissue types, developmental stages, and stress treatments provide multiple options to compare and analyse sRNAs and their targets. (B) High-throughput sequencing of sRNA libraries produced from these materials enable the genome-wide identification of genotype-, tissue-, development- and stress-dependent functional sRNAs. (C) Degradome sequencing of the 5’-end uncapped RNA fragments is an efficient approach for profiling sRNA-cleaved targets on a large scale. Both sequencing approaches must be integrated with powerful bioinformatics tools to decipher sequencing data, identify valid sRNA/target reads, and characterise the sRNA/target transcriptome profiles. (D) The bioinformatic predictions require experimental validation of sRNA/target candidates to confirm their interactions and (E) characterise their functional relevance. For example, co-examination of sRNA/target pairs using qPCR helps to validate the suppression of miRNAs as a result of changes in sRNA abundance. RLM (RNA ligase mediated)-5’ RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) confirms the truncated site in miRNAs resulting from the post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) cleavage guided by sRNAs. Gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies further characterise the roles and relevance of sRNA and their targets in response to stress.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA#</th>
<th>The Response of miRNA to Abiotic Stresses&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Target of miRNA</th>
<th>Pathways Involved</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR156</td>
<td>Osai, Taε†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Taε†, Zma†</td>
<td>Squamosa promoter binding protein-like (SPL) transcription factors</td>
<td>Gibberellin signalling; flavonoid biosynthesis; anthocyanin metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR159</td>
<td>Osai†, Taε†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>MYB family transcription factors</td>
<td>Gibberellin signalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR160</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Auxin response factors</td>
<td>Auxin signalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR162</td>
<td>Tui†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR164</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>NAC domain transcription factors</td>
<td>Hormone signalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR166</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†</td>
<td>Homeodomain–leucine-zipper (HD-Zip) transcription factors</td>
<td>Jasmonic acid signalling; ethylene pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR167</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR168</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Taε†, Zma†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
<td>Argonaute 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR169</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Taε†, Zma†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR171</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Tui†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Taε†</td>
<td>Tae†, Osai†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR172</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Taε†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR319</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Tae†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR393</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Tui†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR394</td>
<td>Osai†, Tui†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR395</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR396</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Tui†, Zma†</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†, Zma†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR397</td>
<td>Hvui†, Osai†, Tae†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
<td>Osai†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR398</td>
<td>Osai†, Tae†, Zma†</td>
<td>Tae†, Zma†</td>
<td>Osai†, Taε†</td>
<td>Taε†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and grain fill therefore holds great potential as a tool to facilitate reproductive success and yield improvement under different environmental conditions (Figure 3).

**Flowering Time and Floral Development**

Regulatory networks controlling the vegetative to reproductive phase transition are highly complex and regulated strongly by environmental cues [59,60]. These networks have been well studied in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), as reviewed recently [59], to reveal the importance of a key set of genes that integrate pathways to initiate flowering: SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1), FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), and AGAMOUS-LIKE 24 (AGL24). These genes then switch on a number of floral meristem (FM) identity genes including APETALA1 (AP1), LEAFY (LFY), and FRUITFULL (FUL) leading to FM development. Both sets of genes have been shown to be regulated by various genes associated with environmental cues, including TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1) (circadian clock), FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) (vernalisation), SQUAMOSA-PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SPL) genes, TARGET OF EAT1 (TOE1) (age), and DELLA (gibberellins). The FM then gives rise to various floral organ primordia, a process directed by floral organ identity genes often represented in the ABCE model [60,61].

### Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>The Response of miRNA to Abiotic Stresses&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Target of miRNA</th>
<th>Pathways Involved</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR99</td>
<td>Osa†, Tu†, Zma† Tae†, Zma↓ Osa↓ Tae↓</td>
<td>Ubiquitin-conjugating (E2) enzymes</td>
<td>Cellular phosphate homeostasis; phosphate remobilisation</td>
<td>[15,18,90,137,141,148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR408</td>
<td>Osa††, Tu†† Hvu††, Tae† Tae†</td>
<td>Plastocyanin-like (basic blue) proteins, TOC1</td>
<td>Copper homeostasis; cell-to-cell signalling</td>
<td>[15,23,140,141,143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR444</td>
<td>Hvu†, Osa†, Tae†, Tu† Hvu†, Tae† — Tae†</td>
<td>MADS-box transcription factors</td>
<td>Cellular nitrate signalling</td>
<td>[15,21,137,139,141,143,147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR528</td>
<td>Osa††, Tu††, Zma† Osa†, Zma↑ — Osa↓</td>
<td>AAO (ascorbic acid oxidase), laccase precursor proteins, CSDs</td>
<td>Oxidation/reduction processes</td>
<td>[15,16,18,24,143,148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR529</td>
<td>Osa†† Osa†, Tae† Osa† Osa†</td>
<td>SPL transcription factors</td>
<td>Gibberellin signalling</td>
<td>[16,90,137,139,142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR827</td>
<td>Hvu†, Osa†, Tu†, Tae†, Zma† Hvu†, Tae† — —</td>
<td>SPX-MSF genes</td>
<td>Cellular phosphate homeostasis</td>
<td>[15,18,21,137,143,147,148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR1029</td>
<td>Tae† — Tae† Tae†</td>
<td>DRE-binding factors, AP2-like transcription factors</td>
<td>Gibberellin biosynthesis; ABA signalling</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>miRNAs that are also discussed in this review for their role in the regulation of plant development are in italic font.

<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: Hvu, Hordeum vulgare; Osa, Oryza sativa; Tae, Triticum aestivum; Tu, Triticum turgidum; Zma, Zea mays.

<sup>c</sup>Symbols: ↑, upregulated; ↓, downregulated; —, not determined; ††, opposite regulatory patterns observed in different studies; †, opposite regulatory patterns observed between stress-tolerant and stress-sensitive genotypes in the same study.
Key Figure

Key MicroRNA (miRNA)-Mediated Regulatory Modules Involved in Plant Development and Reproduction

(A) Plant development stages and potential breeding targets. Seven development-associated events are indicated and numbered. Green text refers to favourable physiological and reproductive traits in breeding that could potentially be engineered during plant development. (B) Key miRNA/target regulatory modules discussed in the review that could be used as a tool to manipulate plant development and reproduction. Numbered plant developmental stages highlighted in blue indicate the positive regulation of development by miRNA-targeted genes. Numbered plant development stages highlighted in orange indicate the negative inhibition of development by miRNA-targeted genes.

Figure 3. For a Figure360 author presentation of Figure 3, see the figure online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2016.07.006#mmc1.
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Knowledge of the gene network responsible for floral timing and development is equally important in cereal breeding where flowering time affects pollination, seed quality, yield, harvest time, and stress avoidance. Although many of the regulatory network components, primarily transcription factors, described in arabidopsis have also been identified in cereals [59], no functional FLC orthologues have been validated in cereals thus far. In arabidopsis, vernalisation or the acceleration of flowering via cold temperatures requires epigenetic silencing of the floral repressor gene FLC [62,63] by sRNAs, histone modifiers, and long non-coding RNAs [11,62–64]. Major crops such as winter wheat and barley respond to vernalisation by increasing the expression of VRN1 (similar to AP1/FUL), which usually represses VRN2. Because VRN2 (a zinc-finger CCT domain-containing gene) usually represses the FT orthologue, VRN3, flowering is initiated. Even though a TamiR1123 (previously named miR507) was identified to originate from miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements in the VRN-A1a promoter, the role of cereal miRNAs in these networks remains unknown [59,65] (see Outstanding Questions).

The miR156/157 and miR172 families are probably the best-characterised flowering time regulators because their functions are highly conserved across dicots and monocots. These two miRNA families exhibit temporally opposite expression patterns and have inverse functions in regulating floral time [66]. miR156 is highly expressed in the vegetative stage and its abundance gradually declines as the plant ages, whereas miR172 accumulates over time in leaves and floral organs from the vegetative to reproductive stage [67]. The main targets of the miR156/157 family are SPL transcription factors and, in arabidopsis, rice, maize, and brachypodium, they appear to regulate vegetative/reproductive phase transition, inflorescence branching, and auxillary meristem boundary establishment [66,68–70]. Indeed, overexpression or upregulation of miR156 (and therefore decreased SPL expression) led to delayed flowering and a prolonged vegetative phase in several species (reviewed in [53]). miR172 has been shown to target the ‘A’ gene AP2 (APETALA2) [71], and AP2-like genes including TOE1, TOE2, TOE3, SMZ, and SNZ [72–74]. In arabidopsis, overexpressing miR172 led to increased cleavage of TOE1, TOE2, and AP2 [74], and caused early flowering [73]. Overexpression of rice miR172 also significantly reduced flowering time through its repression of two AP2 genes, SUPERNUMERARY BRACT (SNB) and INDETERMINATE SPIKELET 1 (IDS1) [71]. Interestingly, the AP2 transcription factor negatively regulates miR172 expression and positively regulates miR156 expression, forming a well-coordinated feedback loop [75]. Moreover, miR156 indirectly regulates miR172 abundance because some SPL genes, such as SPL9 and SPL10, induce transcription of miR172 [66]. The temporal pattern of miR172 increasing with age could therefore be the direct consequence of reducing miR156 and increasing SPLs [66]. Nutrient availability is also involved in this developmental timing feedback loop through sugar-mediated repression of miR156 [76], and miR156-mediated responses to phosphate starvation [77]. Furthermore, environmental factors such as drought and heat affect flowering time through increased biogenesis of miR172 induced by GIeanTEA and FCA proteins, respectively [78,79], as well as miR156-regulated stress response and memory [20,80]. The regulatory circuit between miR156/157, miR172, and their targets therefore appears crucial for floral transition. Furthermore, because SPLs have diverse functions across plant development, miR156 appears to be important in other aspects including inflorescence development. In rice, SPL14 is encoded by the quantitative trait locus, IPA1 (IDEAL PLANT ARCHITECTURE 1) [81,82]. Interruption of miR156-directed binding of IPA1 via a point mutation in OsSPL14 caused a marked accumulation of IPA1, leading to denser panicles with more primary and secondary branching, and therefore more grain [81]. IPA1 affects inflorescence development by activating transcription of TB1 (TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1, a negative regulator of tiller bud outgrowth) and DEP1 (DENSE AND ERECT PANICLE 1, a positive regulator of panicle architecture and panicle length) [83]. In rice, another miRNA (miR529) also targets the SPL family, affecting panicle size. Rice plants overexpressing miR156 or miR529 exhibited significantly increased tillers and smaller panicles but with less reduction caused by miR529 [57]. Interestingly, miR529 appears to be specific to...
monocots [84]. However, in Arabidopsis, AtSPL9 and AtSPL15 retain the target site of miR529 and were still responsive to regulation by osa-miR529. The evolutionary relatedness of miRNA target modules could therefore be used when considering their transfer between dicots and monocots for floral engineering (see Outstanding Questions).

Another characterised miRNA regulatory circuit in floral development involves miR159, miR319, and miR167 [85]. miR159-regulated MYB transcription factors and miR319-regulated TCP transcription factors have overlapping functions in floral organ development [85,86], and can independently induce the expression of miR167, which in turn represses AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 6 (ARF6) and ARF8. Both of these participate in auxin signalling, cytokinin activity, and the activation of jasmonic acid biosynthetic enzymes [85]. Impairment of miR159 and miR319 through target mimicry led to defects in sepals, petals, stamen, and anthers, which interestingly resembled the defects caused by the reduced activity of ARF6/8 when miR167 was enhanced [85]. In addition, overexpressing tae-miR159 in rice resulted in delayed heading time and male sterility [86], probably owing to the role that the target MYBs play in stamen and anther development [85,87]. Furthermore, in the maize dicer-1 like mutant, fuzzy tassel, downregulation of miR159 and subsequent misregulation of its target mRNA, gibberellin (GA)-induced MYB, led to male sterility [87]. The modulation of the miR159–miR319–miR167 regulatory circuit might therefore be useful when considering the creation of male-sterile lines for F1 hybrid production in breeding programs.

Because plants will flower earlier in response to stress [88], miRNAs identified as being upregulated during abiotic stress might also control flowering. These include the previously discussed miR156–miR172 regulatory circuit, as well as miR169 and miR408 family members which target key components of the floral regulatory network. The miR169 family targets the universal transcription factor subunit NF-YA (nuclear factor Y subunit A), which binds to the promoter and first intron of the FLC gene and induces its transcription [19] while miR408 appears to target the circadian clock gene TOC1 [54]. In Arabidopsis and wheat, most members of the miR169 family are upregulated in response to abiotic stress [19,21]. However, in maize roots and rice panicles, miR169 showed decreased abundance under abiotic stress [89,90]. Therefore, the miR169/NF-YA module may not necessarily be ideal for the control of stress-induced flowering. However, miR408 overexpression in wheat has shown some promise for future application [54], with knockdown of TOC1 expression leading to an early-heading wheat phenotype [54], and therefore the possibility of avoiding the usual stresses that occur during grain development such as water deficit stress [15] and heat stress [91]. Interestingly, bioinformatics analysis indicated that the miR408 targeting site in TOC1 also exists in barley, but could not be found in rice, maize, brachypodium, soybean (Glycine max), or Arabidopsis. Furthermore, in Arabidopsis, overexpressing tae-miR408 did not repress TOC1 [54]. Consequently, the manipulation of this miRNA regulatory module in adjusting heading time may be applicable only in particular cereal species.

The cautionary tale of understanding multiple functions of specific miRNA modules to avoid undesirable side effects continues with miR164 which appears to be crucial for defining morphogenetic floral organ boundaries in developing flowers [55,56,92] through its ability to downregulate various NAC-domain transcription factor families [56,93]. However, miR164-targeted NAC genes also negatively regulate drought resistance in rice and stripe rust resistance in wheat [93,94]. Therefore, enhancement of miR164 expression in these crops could contribute to stress resistance, but might cause undesirable reproductive defects.

The miR396 and miR397 families are also influenced by abiotic stresses (Table 1), but both appear to integrate inflorescence development, auxin biosynthesis, and hormone signalling pathways [58,95]. For example, osa-miR396 targets GROWTH REGULATING FACTOR 6
(OsGRF6), which functions in auxin biosynthesis and activates auxin response factors and branch/spikelet development-related transcription factors [95]. Increased grain yield occurs in rice plants with knock-down miR396 because enhanced expression of OsGRF6 promotes the formation of axillary branches and spikelets [95]. Likewise, increased grain yield occurs in rice plants overexpressing osa-miR397, but this is due to enhanced panicle branching and larger grain size. In the case of osa-miR397, it represses LACCASE-LIKE PROTEIN (LAC) which is involved in brassinosteroid sensitivity and cell wall biosynthesis [58]. Clearly, miRNAs such as these are therefore not only important in controlling floral development but also in modulating events downstream of fertilisation such as embryo and endosperm development, often referred to as grain filling in cereals.

**Grain Filling**

sRNA profiling in rice, wheat, barley, and maize has demonstrated that various sRNA families, especially miRNAs, exhibit spatiotemporal patterns of expression during grain development [17,96–99]. These differentially expressed miRNAs and their targets are mostly involved in multiple signalling and biosynthetic pathways such as hormone homeostasis and starch biosynthesis, which could contribute to coordinated nutrient accumulation in the growing endosperm. For example, in rice, a quantitative trait locus GW8 (syonymous with the miR156-targeted OsSPL16) encodes a protein that is a positive regulator of cell proliferation [100]. Increased expression of OsSPL16 promoted cell division and grain filling, and this led to enlarged endosperm size, grain width, and increased yield in rice. As mentioned earlier, the manipulation of miR397 in rice also enhanced grain filling and generated larger grains, ultimately contributing to a 25% increase of grain yield in field trials [58]. Some miRNA families, including miR156, miR164, miR167, miR397, miR1861, and miR1867, have higher abundance in superior spikelets (earlier flowering, faster grain fill) [96,101]. By contrast, 24 nt siRNAs showed higher abundance in inferior spikelets (later flowering, slower grain fill) [101]. These 24 nt siRNAs were more likely to be involved in the RdDM pathway, or to more effectively compete for 2′-OH methylation to enable stabilisation [102,103], such that miRNAs will degrade more quickly and therefore lead to a lower abundance of miRNAs in the inferior spikelets [101]. Hence, repression of 24 nt siRNAs could contribute to miRNA accumulation, which might enhance the grain filling rate in inferior spikelets and produce better-quality grains.

**sRNA Engineering in Crops: Leap-Frogging Through the Field**

Achieving high yield in crops not only relies on adaptive reproductive traits under unfavourable environments but also on agronomic traits such as leaf morphology, root architecture, and tiller branching/number. Leaves with increased photosynthetic efficiency contribute greatly to nutrient accumulation and grain setting rate during reproduction, while a well-developed, well-adapted root system spatially deploys lateral roots and primary roots to optimise water and nutrient uptake. Tiller dynamics, including density and spatial distribution, could affect plant gas exchange, canopy temperature, and also light interception. Most importantly, the fertile tiller ratio and the development of grain-bearing tillers can directly determine the final yield in cereal crops. The involvement of sRNAs in these traits provides new options for researchers to engineer crop architecture, leading to improved plant fitness, subsequent reproductive success, and high grain yield (Figure 3).

A regulatory miRNA circuit involving miR319, miR396, and their respective targets – the TCP4 and GRF genes – appears to play a conserved role in leaf development. In arabidopsis, TCP4 has been shown to repress cell proliferation, causing a negative impact on leaf size as a result of reduced leaf cell number [104]. However, GRF proteins promote cell proliferation in the meristem and developing leaves [105,106]. The accumulation of TCP4 induces the expression of miR396, leading to downregulation of GRFs and subsequent repression of cell proliferation [107], as does overexpressing miR396 family members in arabidopsis and rice [106–108]. The upregulation of
miR319 could therefore repress the expression of miR396 and alleviate its negative impacts on GRF proteins and leaf development. In rice, the overexpression of two miR319 family members led to increased longitudinal leaf veins and wider leaf blades, and also enhanced cold tolerance [109]. Similarly, overexpression of osa-miR319 in creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera) caused formation of thicker and more-expanded leaves with increased leaf wax, which contributed to enhanced salt and drought tolerance [110]. Given their conserved functions across plant species, the miR319/TCP and miR396/GRF modules could serve as evolutionary RNAi targets to modify leaf morphology.

Several sRNA-mediated pathways also regulate root development through their roles in auxin signalling and can impact on nutrient and water uptake. Overexpressing miR393 and the knockdown of its targets (the auxin receptor genes AUXIN-BINDING F-BOX 2 and TIR1) in rice plants produced similar phenotypes, with significantly longer primary roots and reduced crown roots, typical root traits associated with altered auxin signalling [111]. However, overexpression of miR393 increased grain-bearing tillers and early flowering in rice, but led to reduced tolerance to salinity and drought [112]. Arabidopsis plants overexpressing miR156 produced more lateral roots, whereas reducing miR156 abundance led to less lateral roots through regulation of SPLs involved in auxin signalling [113]. In rice, overexpression of miR156 also increased tiller number and reduced plant height [57,70], but ectopic expression produced a higher fertile tiller ratio, larger panicles, increased grain setting rate, and significant grain yield improvement through the regulation of SPLs as mentioned previously [70,81]. Likewise, miR167 overexpression in soybean to downregulate ARF6 and ARF8, and overexpression of miR847 in arabidopsis to downregulate IAA28 (which normally represses ARF expression), increased total lateral root number and increased lateral root length [114,115]. Furthermore, the alleviation of miR169-directed repression of NF-YA increased lateral root initiation in arabidopsis [116]. Because miR169 is downregulated under low nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) conditions [117,118], knockdown of miR169 may allow increases in N and P uptake through enhanced lateral root development, ultimately leading to improved grain yield and quality. Indeed, overexpressing NF-YA in wheat significantly increased both N and P uptake [119]. Similarly in rice, the miR166-targeted transcription factor RDD1 promotes the uptake and accumulation of various nutrient ions in the roots [120]. The impairment of miR166/RDD1 binding through nucleotide substitution within the miR166 target recognition site produced constitutive RDD1 expression, which ultimately increased nitrogen responsiveness and grain production in rice [120]. Therefore, several candidate regulatory RNAi/target modules exist for improvement of grain yield and quality through the manipulation of leaf morphology, tillering, and root architecture. However, care must be taken to avoid undesirable effects on other traits.

**Significant Potential of sRNA Technologies**

As a natural mechanism for genetic reprogramming, sRNA-directed RNAi has emerged as a powerful biotechnological tool for gene silencing studies in functional genomics. The use of various RNAi methods has assisted researchers to modify stress responses and reproductive processes in plants, and also expands the power of RNAi in developing high-yielding superior crop varieties.

Several RNAi approaches such as artificial microRNAs (amiRNAs) [121–123], synthetic ta-siRNAs (syn-tasiRNAs) [124], and the overexpression of MIR genes [54,58,109,112] are powerful tools to activate gene silencing through inducing endogenous or exogenous sRNAs. Conversely, the activity of miRNAs can be sequestered using approaches such as sRNA target mimicry (TM) [95,125–127], short tandem TM (STTM) [128–130], virus-based miRNA silencing (VbMS) [131], and transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN)-based or CRISPR/Cas9-based knockdown of sRNAs [132,133]. TM-based approaches, amiRNAs, and miRNA overexpression, which can all directly modify mature miRNA abundance, are so far the most promising for manipulating reproduction and stress tolerance in crops (Table 2).
Table 2. Current Progress of RNAi Applications in Crop Improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNAi Method</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artificial miRNAs</td>
<td>Very effective in knock-down/knock-out studies</td>
<td>Not applicable at the DNA level Needs to be combined with tissue-specific promoters to improve efficiency</td>
<td>Improvement of plant height and panicle exertion to facilitate hybrid rice production</td>
<td>[121–123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Few off-target effects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Control of root architecture through targeting ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR genes (ERFs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customised to silence both coding and non-coding genes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance to Wheat dwarf virus (WDV) through targeting conservative WDV sequences in barley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overexpression of miRNAs</td>
<td>Easy to reveal miRNA function through gain-of-function</td>
<td>Not very effective for miRNA family members with functional redundancy Needs to be combined with tissue-specific promoters to improve efficiency</td>
<td>Overexpression of miR319 promoted leaf morphogenesis and improved cold tolerance in rice</td>
<td>[54,58,109,112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not need artificial sRNA constructs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Overexpression of miR393 improved salt and drought tolerance in rice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overexpression of miR397 promoted panicle branching and increased grain size in rice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overexpression of miR408 promoted early heading in wheat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target mimics</td>
<td>Easy to generate for their simple structure</td>
<td>Do not completely degrade mature RNAs Not very effective on highly abundant miRNAs or miRNA family members with functional redundancy</td>
<td>Target mimic of miR156 increased OsSPL13 to control grain size in rice</td>
<td>[95,125–127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very effective in attracting endogenous miRNAs intended to be knocked down</td>
<td></td>
<td>Target mimic of miR396 generated higher root biomass and highly-efficient colonization in Medicago truncatula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target mimic of miR396 increased secondary branches and spikelets and improved yield in rice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target mimic of miR5200 regulated photoperiod-mediated flowering time in brachypodium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short tandem target mimics (STTM)</td>
<td>Effective degradation of mature RNAs through the small degrading nucleases</td>
<td>Not very effective on miRNAs with low abundance</td>
<td>STM degradation of miR1848 modulated phytosterol and brassinosteroid biosynthesis during plant development and stress response in rice</td>
<td>[128–130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STTM degradation of miR396 generated larger reproductive organs and increased fruit yield in tomato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STTM blockage of miRNA858 induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exogenous amiRNAs function in PTGS similar to endogenous miRNAs, but their sequence complementarity can be custom-made to target almost any gene. For example, in rice, the role of Ghd7 (Grain number, plant height, and heading date 7) in regulating heading date, reproductive development, and stress response was revealed by introducing ami-Ghd7, an amiRNA designed complementary to Ghd7 [134]. Apart from assessing gene function, modifying sRNA could also directly improve agronomically valuable traits. For example, overexpression of amiRNAs results in 80% reduction in the expression level of its target BETaine ALDEHYDE DEHYDROGENASE 2 (BADH2), which led to increased 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline, the major compound generating grain fragrance in rice that brings high market value [135]. However, as mentioned earlier, constitutive expression of amiRNAs or overexpression of endogenous miRNAs may also generate undesirable phenotypes. Utilisation of suitable tissue-specific and stress-inducible promoters could adjust gene activity in a more controlled manner, thus minimising undesirable side effects. For example, while flowering can be delayed by silencing FT with ami-FT, if an alcohol-inducible promoter is used, flowering could be induced synchronously upon exogenous application of ethanol [136]. However, the design of successful tissue-specific or stress-inducible promoters is challenging. Given that amiRNA-mediated RNAi is a quantitatively effective approach, future development and careful selection of transgenic promoters is very important to fully unlock the potential of amiRNA in crop improvement.
Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

Small RNA-mediated epigenetic regulation is involved in almost all biological and metabolic processes during the plant life cycle. Many of these processes are crucial to the maintenance of plant fitness and reproductive success under stressful environmental conditions. Recently characterised sRNA-regulated modules playing decisive roles in reproductive events such as flowering time, panicle branching, and grain development have emerged as a resourceful genetic reservoir for manipulating these challenging breeding targets. However, the contribution of some sRNA families to stress responses and plant development, as well as their trans-generational inheritance and the stability of acquired sRNA-mediated responses, remains unclear (see Outstanding Questions). Furthermore, most of our understanding of stress-induced epigenetic regulation and its adaptive value has been generated from laboratory studies with arabidopsis and rice. Under these conditions plants are often exposed to acute and controlled levels of one single stress, whereas in the field combinations of different abiotic stresses occur simultaneously. The systematic study of sRNA-mediated regulatory mechanisms, and their function, under field conditions for commercial crop cultivation is therefore necessary. Inheritable epigenetic changes, such as DNA methylation and histone variants, could also be exploited, but trans-generational memory of epigenetic variation induced by sRNAs differs according to the environment [7]. Therefore, the benefits and risks of these stress-induced adaptations must be examined in the progenies under different conditions based on their intended regions of cultivation. Together with the identification and characterisation of suitable sRNA/target modules, crops could be manipulated using the various RNAi-based approaches discussed earlier to modify gene expression associated with stress responses and plant reproduction in a controllable manner. These sRNA-associated approaches, together with the development of suitable constitutive, stress-inducible, and tissue-specific RNAi promoters in crop species, could become a sustainable strategy. Furthermore, once whole-genome sequences are available for all species, the full potential of RNAi should be unlocked. SMARTER breeding, through the utilisation of ‘Small RNA-Mediated Adaptation of Reproductive Targets in Epigenetic Regulation’, could be one of the most promising solutions to improving agricultural productivity by engineering elite crop varieties with enhanced stress tolerance and increased grain yield.
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